

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/626,040 30804.800US01 9557 07/27/2000 Tadayoshi Nakanishi EXAMINER 11/04/2003 BRULL PICCIONELLI SARNO BRAUN & VRADENBURGH GHATT, DAVE A 1925 Century Park East Suite 2350 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Los Angeles, CA 90067 2854 DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>و او </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/626,040	NAKANISHI, TADAYOSHI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Dave A Ghatt	2854
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h th correspondence address
THE   - Extermination of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON <sup>*</sup> , cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  "HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	<u>luly 2000</u> .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
·	on of Claims		
•	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
·	Claim(s) <u>9,15,17,21,22 and 24</u> is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,10,11,19,20,23,25 and 26</u> is/are re		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>7,8,12-14,16 and 18</u> is/are objected to		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.	
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)🛛 ີ	Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>27 July 2000</u> is/are: a)∑	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 -	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language pro	• •	
Attachment	-	· · · · ·	
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/626,040

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 12, 13, 14, 16, and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 12 line 6, the applicant includes two commas after the recitation of "platform".

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 10, 11, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kruchko (US pat # 5,389,476). With respect to claim 10 and 23, Kruchko teaches a method for printing an image on oversized print media. As outlined in column 5 lines 13-23, Kruchko teaches the steps of assembling a single print substrate, wherein the single print substrate comprises a plurality of smaller print substrate segments. Column 3 line 44 to column 4 lines 31 teach the scanning of the image using scanner 26 and storing the image on a storage medium, computer 22. Column 4 lines 1-8 teach receiving an image from a computer 22 using an image scanner 26, and editing and adjusting of the print parameters for the image. Column 5 lines 1-12 teach the printing of the image onto a preassembled single print substrate. With respect to the requirement for delivering the printed substrate to the client, this is an inherent step in the

Application/Control Number: 09/626,040

Art Unit: 2854

method of Kruchko. With respect to the requirement for print substrate segments, the applicant should note that insofar as this requirement is broadly recited, any print substrate could be divided into segments.

With respect to claims 11 and 26, as outlined in column 5 lines 17-20, the print substrate of Kruchko comprises coupling a smaller laminated segments with a backing segment, using a coupling adhesive.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fulkerson et al. (US 6,059,391). With respect to claim 1, Fulkerson et al. teaches a system for printing on oversized print media. Figures 1 and 2 of Fulkerson et al. teach a transportation system having a track 22, a printing station 12 comprising a movable print head. With respect to the requirement for an unloading and delivery station, the apparatus illustrated in Figure 1 must inherently include these stations downstream of the printing station 12, in order for the apparatus to be operable. Fulkerson et al. further teaches a platform 14 sized to receive an oversized print substrate, the print substrate being sized to correspond to a desired product size. As illustrated in Figure 1, the platform resides on the track and moves along the track in a translational motion. The printing station 12 and the unloading station and delivery station, must be coupled together, in some manner, by the track 22. As outlined in column 4 lines 43-49, the print head is configured to scan across the print substrate in a direction perpendicular to the translational motion of the print substrate.

With respect to claim 2, the applicant should note that insofar as this requirement is broadly recited, any print substrate could be divided into segments.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/626,040

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 3, Figure 1 of Fulkerson et al. illustrates the printing station 12 that includes a stationary platform (not numbered) upon which the print head is mounted. With respect to the requirement for loading station, in order for the apparatus of Fulkerson et al. to be operable, the apparatus must inherently include a loading station upstream of the printing station 12.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fulkerson et al. (US 6,059,391) in view of Zelko (US 5,887,519). With respect to claims 4 and 5, Fulkerson et al. teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the above rejection of claims 1-3, except for a clamping device and an expandable member, wherein the clamping device is coupled to the expandable member and configured to be releasably coupled to the print substrate. Zelko teaches clamp 62 being pressed into engagement with rail 44 so as to thereby clamp the reinforcement rail between the clamp 62 and support bar 54, thus forming parts of a clamping device for clamping engagement with printing screen 28, which encompasses platform 12 extending through six printing stations 26. Zelko also teaches the position of screen 28 can be manually adjusted by adjustment support bar 54 and its alignment pin 56. Elements 54 and 56 are therefore the claimed "expandable member." It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to provide the clamping device of Zelko, in the apparatus of Fulkerson et al., in order to

Application/Control Number: 09/626,040

Art Unit: 2854

ensure that each platform is accurately located in positions during each printing operation for accurate printing at successive printing stations as taught by Zelko in column 4 lines 60-67 and column 5 lines 5-10.

With respect to claim 5, the above describe apparatus of Zelko meets the definition of a "clamping device being releasably coupled to the print substrate" because as broadly recited, the clamping device is couple to print substrates that are positioned on the platform 12.

With respect to claim 6, the primary reference Fulkerson et al. teaches in a Figure 1, a rectangular member under the platform 14 which contacts the platform during operation and linearly translates the platform along the track. Fulkerson et al. also teaches a motor 34.

7. Claims 19 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruchko (US 5,389,476) in view of Fulkerson et al. (US 6,059,391). As outlined in the above rejections to claims 10 and 11, Kruchko teaches all that is claimed, except for a transportation system, a printing station, and an unloading and delivery station and a platform. Figures 1 and 2 of Fulkerson et al. teach a transportation system having a track 22, a printing station 12 comprising a movable print head. With respect to the requirement for an unloading and delivery station, the apparatus illustrated in Figure 1 must inherently include these stations downstream of the printing station 12, in order for the apparatus to be operable. Fulkerson et al. further teaches a platform 14. It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to modify Kruchko to include the printing system of Fulkerson et al., in order to provide the ability to print on substrates of different sizes, as taught by Fulkerson et al. in column 2 lines 65-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/626,040 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 9, 15, 17, 21, 22, and 24 are allowed.

Claim 21 is allowed because the prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the total combination claimed, including a platform comprising a hollow frame, a printing station, and a smoothing device, comprising a horizontal bed and an elevating means, wherein the horizontal bed is sized to pass through the hollow frame when the horizontal bed is elevated.

9. Claims 12, 13, 14, 16, and 18 are objected to for minor informalities, but would be allowed if written to overcome the objection, as outlined in paragraph of the present office action.

Claim 12 is indicated allowable because the prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the total combination claimed, including a platform, wherein the platform comprises a hollow frame, wherein the horizontal bed is sized to pass through the hollow frame when the horizontal bed is elevated.

10. Claims 7, 8, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 7 is indicated allowable because the prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the total combination claimed, including a platform, wherein the platform further comprises a hollow frame, wherein the horizontal bed is sized to pass through the hollow frame when the horizontal bed is elevated.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/626,040

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 25 is indicated allowable because the prior art of record does not teach or render

obvious the total combination claimed, including a horizontal bed and an elevating means,

wherein the smoothing device is positioned adjacent the print station such that the substrate

passes over the horizontal bed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11.

examiner should be directed to Dave A Ghatt whose telephone number is (703) 308-2417. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew H Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

DAG

October 24, 2003

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**